



***Self Generation Incentive Program***  
***All Party Meeting***

Bob Rudd – VP, Project Development  
Energy Storage & Microgrids

March 21st, 2016

# SolarCity SGIP Participation

- Only \$5.3M of funding received to date
- Represents 1% of total funding since 2011
- Collaborative approach to program re-design

December 1 <sup>st</sup>	February 23 <sup>rd</sup>
1 application	75 applications
1 employee submitted at 8:00 am	~50 employees submitting at 8:00 am
0 successful awards	1 successful award

# 2/23 Portal Issues Experienced

- Minimum 4 minute delay logging into portal
- 3 minute delay for each application submitted
- ***Time stamps received from portal do not match internal records***

Order of Submission	Time Stamp	SGIP ID #
First	8:08:10.87	<u>SCE-SGIP-2016-1467</u>
Second	<b>8:06:00.17</b>	<u>SCE-SGIP-2016-1403</u>
Third	8:10:36.74	<u>SCE-SGIP-2016-1523</u>
Fourth	<b>8:09:43.99</b>	<u>SCE-SGIP-2016-1506</u>

- Portal allocated duplicate SGIP reservation ID #s
  - “Due to the high volume and concentration of submissions, some applications received the same 4-digit app number....”

On Tue, 23 Feb at 8:57 AM , Andrea Mercado <[amercado@energy-solution.com](mailto:amercado@energy-solution.com)> wrote:  
Good Morning,

Thank you for your participation in the 2016 SGIP Program Open.

Due to the high volume and concentration of submissions, some applications received the same 4-digit app number at the end of their application ID. These are not duplicates but rather applications that were received within milliseconds of each other. Every single app received this morning has a unique timestamp so there is no issue in re-sorting these for the 4-digit app numbers.


# Proposed Resolution

- Cancel 2/23 Results based on the following:
  - 1) Portal malfunctioned to the detriment of Commissions objectives
  - 2) The basis for Decision 15-12-017 was not achieved
  - 3) Prolonged efforts debating 2/23 will distract from program re-design
- Funding can be released at a later date in line with public interest

## 2/23 Project Costs

- Developer A

- 257 projects submitted
- 240 projects with cost of exactly 2.62 / W
  - Results in maximum incentive of \$1.57 / W
- ***Identical costs from 14.99 kW up to 950 kW***



# 12/1 Results

- 98% of funding to 2 companies
- >\$2M of Developer B cancelled


## Developer A

- All projects > \$2.62 / W
- Identical project costs


## Developer B

- All projects > \$2.62 / W
- Higher costs for bigger system


## Funds Awarded



## Developer A




## Developer B




# Should we compete on Time Stamp? Or Costs?

- Time Stamp Raking = 24.4 MW of projects



- Cost Based Ranking = 39.9 MW of projects





Thank you

Bob Rudd  
VP, Project Development  
Energy Storage & Microgrids  
[rrudd@solarcity.com](mailto:rrudd@solarcity.com)